UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (BROOKLYN)

IN RE: EXACTECH POLYETHYLENE ORTHOPEDIC PRODUCTS LIABILITY	MDL No. 3044 (NGG) (MMH)
LITIGATION	Case No.: 1:22-md-03044-NGG-MMH
	District Judge Nicholas G. Garaufis Magistrate Judge Marcia M. Henry
/	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	
JOSEF BEER AND SHEILA BEER	

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff(s) files this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against the Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporates by reference the allegations, Causes of Action, and requested relief contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint filed in *In re: Exactech Polyethylene Orthopedic Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 3044, Case No. 1:22-md-03044 ("Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint" or "AMPIC"). 1

Plaintiff(s) further alleges as follows:

I. IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Injured Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual(s) implanted with and injured by an Exactech Device.

JOSEF	BEER
--------------	------

("Plaintiff(s)")

¹ Plaintiff may assert additional causes of action and/or name Defendants not otherwise set forth in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint. If additional causes of action are asserted and/or new Defendants named, the specific facts supporting any such additional cause of action or the naming of such additional Defendants must be pled in a manner complying with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Additional pages may be attached to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.

2.	At the	ne of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Plaintiff resides in the following state			
	NJ				
3.	Consortium Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual(s) that alleges damages for loss of consortium: SHEILA BEER				
	("Cons	ium Plaintiff")			
4.	Surviv	and/or Wrongful Death Claims: Representative Plaintiff: Name of the individual filing this matter and the representative capacity (i.e. administrator or executor of estate):			
		Representative Plaintiff")			
		Name and state of residence of Decedent Plaintiff when he/or she died as a resu of an Exactech Device related injury:			
		Decedent Plaintiff died on the following date:			
	В.	DEFENDANTS			
OF EA	F INCC	ROCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACE PORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP O ENDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING NDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE O			
IN	CORPO	ATION PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE OF FACI			

5. Plaintiff(s) names the following Defendants in this action:

DEFENDANT IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE



Exactech, Inc.²

Exactech U.S., Inc.³

TPG Defendants

NOTE: Pursuant to Practice and Procedure Order No. 4 (Direct Filing Order as to TPG Entity Defendants), these entities may *only* be named as a defendant in an action DIRECTLY FILED in this Court — as opposed to an action filed in another court and transferred to this Court via the MDL statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1407 — if implantation of a device(s) identified in paragraphs 8, 15, 22 or 29 below occurred *on or after February 14, 2018* (i.e., the date identified in response to paragraphs 10, 17, 24, 31 below); otherwise, identifying one or more of the TPG Defendants below will be of no effect and it/they will NOT be a defendant in the action.

✓ TPG Inc.⁴
 ✓ Osteon Holdings, Inc.⁵
 ✓ Osteon Merger Sub, Inc.⁶
 ✓ Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc.⁷

Other Defendant(s) (provide name and state(s) of citizenship for each new Defendant)

² Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.

³ Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.

⁴ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas, and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

⁵ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Delaware, and a citizen of Delaware.

⁶ Texas corporation, with its principal place of business in Florida, and a citizen of Texas and Florida.

⁷ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Delaware, and a citizen of Delaware.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

6. The Cou	urt has jurisdiction over this ma	atter pursuant to:	
v	Diversity of Citizenship		
	_	•	be pled in sufficient detail below oplicable Federal Rules of Civil
III. <u>VE</u> I	NUE / DESIGNATED FORU	J <u>M</u>	
direct fi			yould have filed in the absence of
IV. PLA	AINTIFF'S EXACTECH DI	EVICE AND INJUR	RIES
Exactech D	Device 1:		
(NOTE: Ar	nswer the following questions	for only one Exacte	ch Device.)
8. Plaintiff	was implanted with the follow	ving Exactech Device	
Exactech	Hip Devices	Exactech Kn	ee Devices
	Connexion GXL	~	Optetrak
	Novation GXL		Optetrak Logic
	AcuMatch GXL		Truliant
	MCS GXL		
		Exactech An	
			Vantage

9.	Leg in v	vhich the	e Exactech l	Device was Implanted:
	V	Righ	t	
		- ~	-	
10.	Date the	Exacted	ch Device w	as implanted (see also note to paragraph 5 above):
	May	24	2010	_
11.	State in	which th	ne Exactech	Device was implanted:
	NY			
12.	Date the	Exacted	ch Device w	as surgically removed/revised:
	May	6	2019	
				_
13.	Plaintiff	has su	ffered the fo	ollowing injuries and complications as a result of this Exactech

Pain, stiffness, discomfort, and weakness which in turn negatively affected Plaintiff's mobility and quality of life and necessitated a revision surgery and the resultant pain following surgery and recuperation/rehabilitation period and physical therapy. Plaintiff's ability to perform normal physical activities has been consequently

Device:

limited.

	ntiff asse efendan		egarding injuries suffered prior to February 14, 2018 against the
Yes [] No [
Exactech	Device 2	:	
(NOTE: A	answer t	he following o	questions for only one Exactech Device.)
15. Plaintit	ff was im	planted with	the following Exactech Device:
Exactech	Hip De	<u>vices</u>	Exactech Knee Devices
	Co	nnexion GXL	• Optetrak
	☐ No	vation GXL	Optetrak Logic
	Ac	uMatch GXL	Truliant
	M0	CS GXL	
			Exactech Ankle Device
			Vantage
16. Leg in	which th		Device was Implanted:
	✓ Left		
17. Date th	e Exacte	ch Device was	s implanted (see also note to paragraph 5 above):
Aug	2	2010	
18. State in	which t	he Exactech D	Device was implanted:
NY			
19. Date the Exactech Device was surgically removed/revised:			
May	6	2019	

20.	Plaintiff has suffered the following injuries and complications as a result of this Exactech Device:
	Pain, stiffness, discomfort, and weakness which in turn negatively affected Plaintiff's mobility and quality of life and necessitated a revision surgery and the resultant pain following surgery and recuperation/rehabilitation period and physical therapy. Plaintiff's ability to perform normal physical activities has been consequently limited.
21	In Plaintiff against a claims recording injuries suffered prior to Echmany 14, 2019 against the
21.	Is Plaintiff asserting claims regarding injuries suffered prior to February 14, 2018 against the TPG Defendants?
	Yes No 🗸

Exactech Device 3:

(NOTE: Answer the follo	wing questions for only one Ex	actech Device.)
22. Plaintiff was implanted	with the following Exactech De	evice:
Exactech Hip Devices	Exactech	Knee Devices
Connexion Novation C AcuMatch MCS GXI	GXL GXL 	Optetrak Optetrak Logic Truliant Ankle Device Vantage
23. Leg in which the Exact Right Left	tech Device was Implanted:	
24. Date the Exactech Dev	ice was implanted (see also note	to paragraph 5 above):
May 6 2019	9	
25. State in which the Exac	etech Device was implanted:	
26. Date the Exactech Devi	ce was surgically removed/revis	ed:

Plaintiff has suffered the following injuries and complications as a result of this Exactech Device:
Pain, stiffness, discomfort, and weakness which in turn negatively affected Plaintiff's mobility and quality of life and may necessitate a revision surgery at a later date. Plaintiff's ability to perform normal physical activities has been consequently limited.
Is Plaintiff asserting claims regarding injuries suffered prior to February 14, 2018 against the TPG Defendants?
Yes No 🗸

Exactech Device 4:	
(NOTE: Answer the following questions	for only one Exactech Device.)
29. Plaintiff was implanted with the follow	ing Exactech Device:
Exactech Hip Devices	Exactech Knee Devices
Connexion GXL Novation GXL AcuMatch GXL MCS GXL	Optetrak Optetrak Logic Truliant Exactech Ankle Device Vantage
30. Leg in which the Exactech Device was Right Left 31. Date the Exactech Device was implanted	
May 6 2019 32. State in which the Exactech Device was	implanted:
CT	

33. Date the Exactech Device was surgically removed/revised:

2019

Jun

21

Pain, stiffness, discomfort, and weakness which in turn negatively affected Plaintiff's mobility and quality of life and necessitated a revision surgery and the resultant pain following surgery and recuperation/rehabilitation period and physical therapy. As a consequence of Plaintiff's May 6, 2019 revision surgery, Plaintiff developed an infection in his left knee and required five additional surgeries on his left knee to treat the infection and additional complications stemming from the failure of the recalled component implanted in his left knee. Plaintiff's ability to perform normal physical activities has been consequently limited.
35. Is Plaintiff asserting claims regarding injuries suffered prior to February 14, 2018 against to TPG Defendants?
Yes No 🗸
NOTE: If Plaintiff(s) alleges injuries related to additional Exactech Devices not already identified in this Short Form Complaint, please complete questions 29-35 separately for each additional Exactech Device and attach to this Short Form Complaint.

34. Plaintiff has suffered the following injuries and complications as a result of this Exactech

V. **CAUSES OF ACTION**

36. As to Exactech, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Design Defect
Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
Instructions
Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts
and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must
be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if
necessary.

37.	As to Exactech U.S., Inc., l	Plaintiff(s) ad	opts the fol	llowing Cause	s of Action	asserted in	ı the
	Amended Master Personal	Injury Compl	aint and th	ne allegations a	and Prayer	for Relief	with
	regard thereto, as set forth t	herein:					

'	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect
'	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
'	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
•	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
'	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
'	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
V	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action.
	If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific
	facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action
	must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal
	Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint,
	if necessary.

38	. As to TPG, Inc. Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended
	Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto,
	as set forth therein:

'	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect
'	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
'	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
v	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
v	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
'	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
'	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action.
	If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific
	facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action
	must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal
	Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint,
	if necessary.

39. As to Osteon Holdings, Inc., Plaint	tiff(s) adop	ts the fol	llowing Cau	ses of Action	n asserted i	n the
Amended Master Personal Injury	Complaint	and the	allegations	and Prayer	for Relief	with
regard thereto, as set forth therein:						

v	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect
'	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
'	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
v	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
'	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
'	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
'	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts
	and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must
	be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of
	Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if
	necessary.

40	D. As to Osteon Merger Sub, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in
	the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with
	regard thereto, as set forth therein:

'	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect
'	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
'	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
v	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
v	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
'	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
v	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action.
	If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific
	facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action
	must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal
	Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint,
	if necessary.

41.	. As to Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action
	asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for
	Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

~	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect
'	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
'	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
/	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
~	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
~	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
/	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts
	and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must
	be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of
	Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if
	necessary.

42.	As to a	ny D	efenda	nt naı	med in	this Sh	ort Forn	n Comp	laint th	at is no	t named i	n the A	mended
	Master	Pers	onal In	jury	Comp	laint, Pl	laintiff(s	s) asser	ts the f	ollowin	g allegat	ions, ca	uses of
	action,	and	prayer	for	relief.	Attach	additio	nal pa	ges to	this Sh	ort Form	Comp	laint, if
	necessa							• `				•	
		J											

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) prays for relief and judgment against named Defendants and all such further relief that this Court deems equitable and just as set forth in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and any additional relief to which Plaintiff(s) may be entitled.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Date: May

15

2023

Signed:

Signe